Diagnostic Literacy Assessment

Reading: Informational Text 9-10.1

Cite strong and thorough textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text.

 4 Cites pieces of relevant and specific text evidence to support analysis of the text. Draws evidence explicitly as well as inferentially. Justifies the text evidence selections to support the analysis. 	3 Cites pieces of relevant and specific text evidence to support analysis of the text. Draws evidence explicitly as well as inferentially.	2 Cites relevant text evidence to support what the text says. Draws evidence explicitly.	1 Restates text evidence to support what the text says. Draws evidence explicitly.	0 There is no, or insufficient, evidence of learning to assess the standard at this time.
--	---	---	---	---

Writing: 9-10.1 Write arguments to support claims in an analysis of substantive topics or texts, using valid reasoning and relevant and sufficient evidence.

Diagnostic Literacy Assessment "I Can" Statements

Reading Informational Text - RI 9-10.1

I can define textual evidence (a "word for word" support format)

I can define inference and explain how a reader uses textual evidence to reach a logical conclusion ("based on what I've read, it's most likely true that...").

I can read closely and find answers explicitly in text (right there answers) and answers that require an inference.

I can analyze an author's words and determine multiple pieces of textual evidence that strongly and thoroughly support both explicit and inferential questions.

Writing - W 9-10.1

I can analyze substantive (influential) topics or texts to determine an argument that causes or has caused a debate in society.

I can choose a side of the argument and identify claims that support my choice and claims that oppose my choice.

I can determine the credibility of a source (who wrote it, when it was written, and why it was written) and the accuracy of the details presented in the source.

I can support my claims and counterclaims by pointing out the strengths and limitations of both with textual evidence ("word for word" support) found in credible sources.

I can present my argument in a formal style that includes an introduction, supporting details with transitions that create cohesion and clarify relationships, and provide a concluding statement/section that supports my argument.